



# Social Impact and Public Perception of Climate Change

Garba Shuaibu, Idris Isa Danladi Department of Science and Technology Education Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria.

#### ABSTRACT

This study investigated the social impacts and public perception of climate change, particularly among university students, which is crucial for developing effective adaptation strategies and disseminating accurate information about the consequences of climate change on sustainable health conditions. The research employed a descriptive survey design. The target population consisted of 1212 undergraduate students in Science and Technology Education at Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria. A random sample of 120 students was selected for data collection. The primary data collection tool used was a questionnaire titled "Social Impact and Public Perception of Climate Change Questionnaire." The collected data were analyzed using frequency counts and simple percentages. The findings highlighted that a significant majority of the respondents, 68 individuals (58.6%), strongly agreed that the social impact of climate change manifests as extreme weather conditions, which severely impede agricultural production, resulting in decreased quality and quantity of crops. Based on the study's results, it is recommended that governments, individuals, and the scientific community allocate more resources and funding to address the adverse effects of climate change.

# ARTICLE INFO

Article History Received: January, 2023 Received in revised form: February, 2023 Accepted: April, 2023 Published online: June, 2023

#### KEYWORDS

Social Impact, Public Perception and Climate Change,

#### INTRODUCTION

In July 2017, Radio Kano-Nigeria reported the loss of hundreds of lives and properties in Gwarzo Local Government Area of Kano-Nigeria due to heavy rainfall and resulting floods. When people were asked about the causes, they mentioned blockage of water drainage due to waste disposal or environmental pollution, extreme weather conditions, and changes in climate. Climate change can be defined as a change in weather patterns (Vanguard Nigeria's Newspaper, Wednesday, January 20, 2010, p. 34) over time or as environmental issues (Tagbo, 2010). Essentially, climate change refers to a pattern of change affecting global or regional climate, such as average temperature, wind, rainfall, or the frequency of extreme weather conditions. This variation can be caused by both natural processes and human activity. Human activities, such as increased release of greenhouse gases and widespread deforestation, have been attributed to climate change (IPCC, 2007). The abundance of greenhouse gases, including methane, nitrous

oxide, and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), contributes to the greenhouse effect and leads to the progressive depletion of the ozone layer in the stratosphere.

Public perception and understanding of climate change are influenced by various definitions and can shape its social impact. It is crucial to have a clear public perception of climate change to advance cognitive science related to public understanding and thinking about climate change. Weber and Stern (2011) argue that understanding the differences between public perceptions of climate change risk and the risk conceptualizations of experts engaged in scientific thinking is important. Lay people often perceive risks differently from experts, considering hazards more risky when they are unfamiliar or evoke negative emotions due to their catastrophic potential (Slovic, 1987). Therefore, it is important for individuals to perceive themselves at risk in order to take appropriate actions. Psychological distance, such as not perceiving personal vulnerability to risks, can affect how individuals perceive climate change (Fielding, 2012).

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu.

garba1427@yahoo.com





Public perception of climate change is often related to general knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, values, ethics, and experiences related to extreme weather and floods (Spence, Poortinga, and Pidgeon, 2012). However, people may view climate change as a distant problem affecting others in the past, present, or future, and may not connect it with humaninduced causes such as deforestation, social instability, electricity usage, and fragile health. Social Impact Assessment practitioners consider social impacts of climate change to include personal living conditions, such as stress and conflicts, as well as changes that impact society as a whole, such as pollution, pressure overpopulation, on infrastructure, and poverty (World Bank, 2008). Assessing the social impacts of climate change is important for promoting sustainable development and well-being, as these impacts can have both positive and negative effects on individuals, communities, and institutions.

Perceptions of climate change can be associated with respondents' characteristics such as political values, gender, education, and social or economic position (Lorenzoni, Leiserowitz, Doria, Poortinga, and Pidgeon, 2006). For example, political and social conservatives may be less concerned about climate change and less supportive of policies aimed at addressing it. Cross-country comparisons have also revealed differences in public beliefs and conceptualizations of climate change (Ipsos, 2014). These differences can influence how individuals perceive and understand climate change.

Nigeria, as a major agricultural and oilproducing country, has faced challenges in ensuring an optimal, reliable, and secure supply of food and energy, as well as addressing social instability (IPCC, 2007). Food and energy are crucial for achieving key policy goals and development targets. Challenges such as food insecurity, energy problems, and social instability have disrupted livelihoods, threatened environmental sustainability, and constrained economic growth and diversification. These challenges have led to significant environmental hazards for many Nigerians. Adaptation to climate change is necessary to mitigate its adverse effects and take advantage of opportunities provided by climatic environments (IPCC, 2007).

Kano, located in northern Nigeria, is the most populous state and the region's largest metropolitan center. It is an important commercial, industrial, and manufacturing city, as well as a diversified agricultural market. Kano's biodiversity, agricultural economies, markets, and networks, along with its tradition of environmentally-related activities, have had implications for climate change and individual/group livelihood strategies (Cline-Cole. 2006: Maconachie. 2007). This paper aims to examine the social impact and public perception of climate change among students in the Science and Technology Education Department at Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria. The research model is illustrated in the figure.



Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu.

garba1427@yahoo.com



#### Statement of the Problem

According to Umeji (2010), the Northern States of Borno, Kebbi, Kano, Nassarawa, and Katsina have experienced a drop in crop yield over the past five years due to irregular rainfall patterns. The disruption of seasonal cycles. intensification of desertification, rising ocean levels, and submergence of riverine communities are some of the observable impacts of climate change. However, meaningful public action against climate change will only begin when the public understands its causes and their role in combating it. O'Connor, Board, and Fisher (1999) argue that responsible citizenship requires a concrete understanding of the causes of climate change, as voluntary actions to mitigate its effects cannot be expected without this knowledge. Therefore, an understanding of the causes and adaptation strategies of climate change is essential for a comprehensive assessment of its social impact and public perception.

The study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. Determine the social impact of climate change among students in the Department of Science and



Technology Education at Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria.

- 2. Explore the students' public perception of climate change.
- Investigate the causes and potential adaptation strategies of climate change.

The research questions are as follows:

- 1. To what extent do students perceive the social impact of climate change?
- 2. What are the students' public perceptions of climate change?
- 3. What are the causes and potential adaptation strategies of climate change?

The study utilized a survey research design and employed a questionnaire as the data collection instrument. The collected data were analyzed using frequency counts and simple percentages to address the research questions, while the research hypotheses were tested using the Chi-square test at a significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The population of the study consisted of 1212 students in the Department of Science and Technology Education at Bayero University, Kano-Nigeria. A random sample of 120 students, representing 10% of the total population, was selected for the study.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **Table 1:** Students' Demographic Data (data analysis were based on N=116)

S/N	Variable	Frequency		Total
1	Program	B, Sc. (Ed) 96 (82.8%	B. Tec (Ed) 20 (17.2%)	116 (100%)
2	Geopolitical zone	NW NE NC SW SE SS 98 (84.5%)10(8.6%)6(5.%) 2(1.7%)		116(100%)
3	Gender	Male 78 (67.2%)	Female 38(36.8%)	116(100%)

Table 1 revealed that majority 96 (82.8%) of the respondents were B. Sc. (Ed) students, 98 (84.5%) were from North-west Geopolitical zone and 78 (67.5%) were male respondents.

**Research Question I:** To what extent have the students perceive the potential social impact of climate change?

To answer this research question data was collected and analyse using frequency count (F) and simple percentage (%).

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu.

garba1427@yahoo.com

Department of VScience and Technology Education, Bayero University, Kano.

© 2023. Faculty of Tech. Education, ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved





## Table 2: Responses on Social Impacts of Climate change

S/N	Item	Response					
		SA	А	UD	D	SD	Total
1	Extreme weather condition seriously hamper agricultural production resulting to low quality and quantity of the produces	68 (58.6)	31 (26.7)	5 (4.3)	4 (3.4)	4 (3.4)	112 (96.4)
2.	Poor agricultural productions as a result of climate change leads to food insecurity	49 (42.2)	44 (37.9)	9 (7.8)	8 (6.9)	2 (1.7)	112 (96.4)
3.	Climate changes forced youth to migrate to cities and border towns in-search of non-agricultural employment	35 (21.9)	53 (45.7)	15 (12.9)	7 (6.0)	3 (2.6)	103 (88.7)
4	Migration of youth to urban areas for survival strategies found to be used in forming crises	21(18.1)	47(40.5)	22 (19.0)	15 (12.9)	7 (6.0)	112 (96.4)
5.	Incessant communal conflict and social instability are among the impact of climate change	16 (13.8)	38 (32.8)	22 (19.0)	24 (20.7)	12 (10.3)	112 (96.4)
6	Planetary wave have significant impact on public heath, economic development and eco-system	23 (19.8)	35 (30.7)	28 (24.1)	15 (12.9)	7 (6.0)	108 (93.1)
7	Climate change promote lack of equity and justice among vulnerable groups in places where the natural resources dependency are high e.g., fertile land, gas, gold, petroleum	37 (31.9)	35 (30.7)	22 (19.0)	12 910.3)	8 (6.8)	114 (98.3)
8.	Climatological research and knowledge may combat all forms of climatic change and in-turn ensure food security and social stability	27 (23.3)	57 (49.1)	22 (19.0)	6 (5.2)	3 (2.6)	115 (99.1)
9	Campaign, media report and policy on environmental hazards have significant impact on people attitudes toward its risk and adaptation act	41 (35.3)	54 (46.6)	15 (12.9)	4 (3.4)	2 (1.7)	116 (100)
10.	Changes in climate brought about increase in commodities prices and new techniques eg cross-breeding, entrepreneurial tendency,	22 (19.0)	59 (50.9)	21 (18.1)	14 (12.1)	1 (0.9)	107 (92.2)
11	Staple foods such as guinea com (for tuwondawa), maize (tuwonmasara) and cassava of the people tend to change with climate	40 (34.5)	49 (42.2)	13 (11.2)	4 (3.4)	5 (4.3)	109 (94.0)

Table 2 showed that most 68 (58.6%) in Item one, 53(45.9%) in item three, 57 (49.1%) in item eight, 55 (47.4%) in item nine and 59 (50.9%) in item ten of the respondents respectively agreed those items as their perceived social impacts of climate change.

Research Question 2: What are the students' public perceptions of climate change?

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu. garba1427@yahoo.com Department of VScience and Technology Education, Bayero University, Kano. © 2023. Faculty of Tech. Education, ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved





To answer this research question data were collected from the respondents and

analysed with frequency (F) count and simple percentage (%).

Table 3: Stude	ents' Public Perc	eptions of C	limate Change
----------------	-------------------	--------------	---------------

S/N	Item	Response				Total	
12	I perceived heavy rainfall and floods	SA	A	UD	D	SD	63
	have increased over the last five years	18	34	2	9		(54.3)
	resulting to loss of life and properties	(15.5)	(29.3)	(1.7)	(7.8)		
13	I perceived cold weather and wind	20	40	9	36	9	114
	pollution have increase d over the last	(17.2)	(34.5)	(7.8)	(31.0)	(7.8)	(98.3)
	five years promoting good health						
	condition and public well-being						
14	Climate change refers to changes in	48	42	6	7	3	106
	climate related weather events or	(41.4)	(36.2)	(5.2)	(6.0)	(2.6)	(91.4)
45	activities	00	20	04	00	2	444
15.	Climate change is abnormal increase	28	39	21	20	3	
	on sea level, level of heat, acid rain,	(24.1)	(33.6)	(18.1)	(17.2)	(2.6)	(95.7)
16	Changes in climate are perceived as	40	26	14	10	6	11/
10.	desertification drypess of streams	(34.5)	(31.6)	(12 1)	(15.5)	(5.2)	(98.3)
	wind erosion increase criminal	(04.0)	(01.0)	(12.1)	(10.0)	(0.2)	(30.0)
	activities, law vield of crops, floods,						
	fragile health. migration of youth to						
	cities and social crises						
17	I have adequate knowledge of climate	26	48	32	5	5	116
	change that help me to explain it better	(22.4)	(41.4)	(27.6)	(4.3)	(4.3)	(100)
18.	Hazard had negative emotional effect	34	50	12	6	3	105
	since it is perceived as risky and	(29.3)	(43.1)	(10.3)	(5.2)	(2.6)	(90.5)
	requiring mitigation						
19.	My experience about hazard risk	32	42	25	15	2	116
	posed by changes in climate related	(27.6)	(32.2)	(21.6)	(12.1)	(1.7)	(100)
	weather condition make me to						
- 00	overestimate the problem of hazard	20	40	10	14	0	444
20.	My experience on environmental	32	48	18 (15 5)	(12,1)	Z (17)	114
	risk and willingness to ongage for	(27.0)	(41.4)	(15.5)	(12.1)	(1.7)	(90.3)
	adaptation action						
21.	Government and NGOs are perceived	33	42	13	17	10	115
	to be responsible and able in adapting	(28.4)	(36.2)	(11.2)	(14.7)	(8.6)	(99.1)
	to climate change		()			()	
22.	Informing people about uncertainty	22	35	29	17	11	114
	and climate change impact through	(19.5)	(30.1)	(25)	(14.7)	(9.5)	(98.3)
	media and other means is uncalled for						
23.	To adapt appropriate measures with	31	47	25	10	3	116
	respect to past, present and future	(26.7)	(40.5)	(21.6)	(8.6)	(2.6)	(100)
	climate hazard, one must perceive that						
0.4	ne is at risk	00	44		01	44	440
24.	of other areas in the future net us new	29	41	8 (6 0)	(10.1)	11	110
1	or other areas in the luture not us now	(23)	(33.3)	(0.9)	(10.1)	(ອ.ວ)	(94.0)

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu. garba1427@yahoo.com Department of VScience and Technology Education, Bayero University, Kano. © 2023. Faculty of Tech. Education, ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved





25	Climate system is a divine act too	24	49	19	16	7	115
20.		21	10	10	10	'	110
	complex and uncertain for scientists to	(20.7)	(12 2)	(16.1)	(13.8)	(6)	(00.1)
		(20.7)	(42.2)	(10.4)	(13.0)	(0)	(33.1)
	make upoful forecast						
	make useful forecast						

Table 3 revealed that the majority 48 (41.4%) in item 14 of the respondents strongly agreed with the given definition of climate change, 50 (43.1%) of the respondents agreed with item 17 and 18 respectively and 49 (42.2%) of the respondents agreed with item 25

## Research Question 3: What is the cause and adaptation strategy of climate change?

To answer this research question data were collected from the respondents and analysed with frequency (F) and simple percentage (%).

S/N	Item	Response					Total
		SA	A	UD	D	SD	
31	Climate changes are humanly induced such burning of fossil fuels, deforestation, immoralities, environmental pollution	52 (49.8)	41 (35.3)	11 (9.3)	6 (5.2)	4 (3.4)	114 (98.3)
32.	Climate changes are divine acts hence cannot be forecast by scientists, eg drought, floods, acid rains, gas eruptions	22 (19.0)	53 (45.7)	17 (14.6)	15 (12.9)	6 (5.2)	113 (97.4)
33.	Climate changes are both humanly induced and natural	30 (25.9)	55 (47.4)	12 (10.3)	5 (4.3)	1 (0.9)	103 (88.8)
34.	Government, scientists and Individuals should invest more efforts in combating climate change	39 (33.6)	51 (44.0)	9 (7.8)	7 (6.0)	2 (1.7)	108 (93.1)
35.	Sensitization program on awareness and willingness to adapt to climate change need to be emphasise by public and private sectors	48 (46.4)	45 (38.8)	12 (10.3)	6 (5.2)	3 (2.6)	114 (98.3)
36.	Employed and unemployed youths and adults must work hard legally to make ends meet	50 (43.1)	46 (39.7)	13 (11.2)	5 (4.3)	1 (0.9)	115 (99.1)
37.	Drastic food production and other agricultural practices would reduce the problems of food insecurity and social instability	40 (34.5)	49 (42.2)	13 (11.2)	4 (3.4)	5 (4.3)	111 (95.7)

Table 4: Cause and potential adaptation strategy of Climate Change

Table 4 showed that majority 55 (47.4%) of the respondents agreed with item 33, 51 (44.0%) of the respondents agreed with item 34 and 50 (43.1%) of the respondents strongly agreed with item 36.

## SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

The findings of this study discovered the following:

 Majority 68 (58.6%) of the respondents strongly agreed that the social impact of climate change is the extreme weather condition which seriously hamper agricultural

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu.

garba1427@yahoo.com

Department of VScience and Technology Education, Bayero University, Kano. © 2023. Faculty of Tech. Education, ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved production resulting to low quality and quantity of the produces.

 Majority 48 (41.4%) of the respondents strongly agreed that climate change is changes in climate related weather events or activities. While majority 50 (43.1%) of the respondents respectively agreed that they have adequate knowledge of climate change that help them to explain climate change better and hazard had negative emotional effect since it is perceived as risky and requiring mitigation





 Most 55 (47.4%) of the respondents strongly agreed that climate changes are both humanly induced and natural acts. While most 51 (44%) of the respondents agreed that Government, scientists and Individuals should invest more efforts in combating climate change.

# **DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS**

The extreme weather conditions as climate change factor effect on agricultural activities result in turn to poor harvests is in line with I PCC (2007) observation on the impact of climate change on health, economy and agriculture resource as well as Cline-Cole (2006) contention that climate change had impacts on agricultural economy and individual and group livelihood strategies.

The respondents' public perception of climate change as changes in climate related weather activities is in line with O'Conner, Bord and Fisher (1997) observed that climate change is perceived as heat, floods, acid rain and distant problem affecting other people and times, and Lorenzoni et al (2006) study that perceived climate change as 'rain'.

On the causes of climate change the study also concord with Burton(1997) observations that the causes of climate change is natural (divine) acts and for effective mitigation of the problem as according toSlovic, (1987) people should perceived it risk and require effective adaptation acts.

# CONCLUSION

The study concluded that extreme weather conditions and human induced hazards are perceived as climate changes leading to flooding, low quality and quantity agricultural production, oil spillage, social insecurity and illhealth condition of individuals and groups. Both individual and aovernments. scientific community should invest more efforts in kinds and funds to address the effect of climate change. Furthermore, the issues of climate change and sustainable environmental management should be incorporated into the General Studies Program (GSP) courses at the undergraduate level and geography and social studies subjects at both the secondary and primary school levels, respectively. If the people are well informed on these issues it would be easier for the people to key into government plans in mitigating climate change. In addition, it will make the people imbibe positive behavioral changes that would help to save planet earth and achieve sustainable socioeconomic and environmental management.

# REFERENCES

- Burton, I. (1997). "Vulnerability and adaptive response in the context of climate and climate change". *Climate Change* 36: 185-196.
- Cline-Cole, R., 2006. Blazing a trail while lazing around: knowledge processes and wood fuel paradoxes? Development in Practice Vol.16, 545-558.
- Fielding, K. S., Head, B. W., Laffan, W., Western, M., & Hoegh-Guldberg, O. (2012). Australian politicians' beliefs about climate change: political partisanship and political ideology. *Environmental Politics*, 21(5), 712– 733
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. Special Report on Regional Impacts of Climate Change; An Assessment of Vulnerability. Summary for policy makers.http://www.ipcc.cg/SPM13AP R07.pdf. Retrieved 20/07/2017.
- Ipsos MORI, 2014. *Global Trends Survey:* Environment. Retrieved September 1st 2014 from:
  - http://www.ipsosglobaltrends.com/en vironment.html
- Lorenzoni, I., Leiserowitz, A., Doria, M. D., Poortinga, W.,Pidgeon, N. F. (2006) Cross national comparisons of image associations with "global warming" and "climate change" among laypeople in the United States of America and Great BritainJ. Risk Res., 9 (3) (2006), pp. 265-281, 10.1080/13669870600613658
- Maconachie, R., 2007. Urban growth and land degradation in developing cities: change and challenges in Kano, Nigeria. Aldershott, U.K.: Ashgate.
- O'Connor, R. E., Bord, R. J., & Fisher, A. (1999). Risk perceptions, general environmental beliefs, and willingness to address climate

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu. ⊠ garba1427@yahoo.com





change. *Risk Analysis*, 19(3), 461–471

- Pidgeon, N. F., Lorenzoni, I., Poortinga, W. (2008) *Climate change or nuclear power – No thanks!* A quantitative study of public perceptions and risk framing in Britain Global
- Environ. Change, 18 (1) (2008), pp. 69-85ArticlePDF (280KB)
- Puchari, K. (2007). IPCC climate change assessment report. Retrieved April 26, 2012 from: http://www.ipcc/:climatechangeassess mentreport4.net//.uk. Retrieved on 20/07/2017
- Slovic P. (1987) *Perception of risk Science*, 236 (4799) (1987), pp. 280-285, 0.1126/science.3563507
- Spence, A., Poortinga, W., Pidgeon, N. (2012) The psychological distance of climate Change Risk Analysis. 32 (6) (2012), pp. 957-972, 10.1111/j.1539-6924.2011.01695.x

- Tagbo, E. (2010). Media Coverage of Climate Change in Africa: A study of Nigeria and South Africa. Oxford: Reuters foundation. Retrieved on 20/07/2017
- Umeji, E. (2010). Climate change: Nigerian Media sleeping on duty. Download on 20/07/2017 from http//:Emekaumeji:/weblog/climatech ange.nigeria.com.
- Weber and Stern, 2011 E.U. Weber, P.C. Stern Public understanding of climate change in the United States Am. Psychol., 66 (4) (2011), pp. 315-328, 10.1037/a0023253
- Whitmarsh, L. (2009) *what's in a name?* Commonalities and differences in public understanding of "climate change" and "global warming" Public Understand. Sci., 18 (4) (2009), pp. 401-420,
- 10.1177/0963662506073088 World Bank Institute (2008) Climate Mitigation in Africa

Corresponding author: Garba Shuaibu.

garba1427@yahoo.com