
IMPACT OF PROBLEM-SOLVING AND DISCOVERY STRATEGIES ON THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AND ATTITUDE, RETENTION
IN GENETIC CONCEPT AMONG SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN ZARIA METROPOLIS, NIGERIA

By

*Abdurahaman Mahmud**, *A. I. Usman***, *S. B. Olorukooba***, and *S. S. Bichi****

*Institute of Education

**Department of Science Education

Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria

***Biology Department

Federal College of Education, Zaria

ABSTRACT

This study investigated the impact of problem-solving and discovery strategies on attitude, retention and academic performance in genetic concepts among secondary school students in Zaria, Nigeria. The research design for the study is Quasi-experimental and control group involving pretest and posttest. The population of the study consists of 527, (264 male and 263 female) students. Simple random sampling technique in form of balloting method was used to select three schools out of five co-educational schools. A sample of three hundred and forty-five (345) secondary school students consisting of 182 male and 163 female students were randomly selected and used for the study. The sample was divided into two groups – experimental and control groups. Both groups underwent pre-test, treatment and post-test. Two instruments were used for gathering data, Genetic Performance Test (GPT) with reliability co-efficient of $r=0.87$ and Students' Attitude to Genetics Questionnaire (SAGQ) with reliability co-efficient of $r=0.79$. One statistical tool – Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) were used for analysing the data gathered at $P<0.05$ level of significance. Findings reveal that students taught by problem-solving and discovery strategies performed better than those taught by conventional lecture method. Also from the mean scores of problem solving have 25.86 while discovery has 26.08 which is greater than the that of problem solving impact in enhancing students academic performance in genetics. The same result was obtained in there retention ability and attitude change however, also gender did not show any difference in performance in all the two teaching strategies. Based on the finding recommendation were made one of which emphasizes biology teachers to use problem solving and discovery strategies to improved students academic performance in genetics. More especially the use of discovery strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Science education is an indispensable tool for national development because the economic, political strength of a nation is always assist in terms of her performance in science and technology (Olarinoye, 2001, Otuka, 2006, & Wasagu, 2007). The importance of science education to nation building, cannot be over emphasized the federal government of Nigeria emphasized the teaching of science and teaching science and technology at all level of education in the country, in the National Policy on Education (Eze, 2001,

& FRN, 2013). This advocacy is consistent with various reformed initiatives and the globe for both science and mathematics curricular and classroom practices, for instance, the need to develop students understanding and scientific literacy and using inquiry and problems solving experiences and skills acquisition, has been emphasized in the United State reform document of the American Association for Advancement of Science (A. A. S, 2006) and National Research Council (NRC, 2006).

Biology is a branch of science that deals with the systematic study of life. Biology as a science

subjects, has many branches notably zoology, study of animal is Botany. The study of plant. Biology has other concept such as ecology microbiology, evaluation, genetics and many others. Biology serve as a pre-requisite or a core subject to many science discipline notably human medicine, food technology, Agriculture, Pharmacy, veterinary based courses and universities, colleges of education, Polytechnics and other tertiary institution.

In spite of this important position of Biology among other science and science related discipline student performance has consistently been below expectation for the low performance in genetics Oloyed

(2008) observed other student's low performance in genetic aspect. Contributes to the failure rate in O'level Biology examination. Several researchers indicated that (Lawal, 2009, Abuze, 2009) students have differently in the learning genetic due to it obstruct which lead to their poor performance in Biology. Biology is one of the physical science that affect by level of order performance by learner. The performances of students in science reflect is how well they understand science concept and a reflection of how good is the strategies Sabir (2001). The performance of Biology students in SSCE examination in Kaduna state have been declining over years as presented in table I.

Table 1: Students performance in Biology in Kaduna State WAEC May/June, 2012-2015

Years	Total Sat for Exam	% Per	% Failure
2012	26,821	47.04	52.96
2013	34,852	41.95	58.05
2014	30,653	42.98	57.02
2015	50,896	47.83	52.17

Source: West African Examination Council Chief Examiners Report 2012-2015

The need to find solution to students with low performance is therefore an obvious factor as Damide (2000), Oloyede (2008) and Zayum (2008) Opined that several factors have been advanced for the low performance of students in Biology. This include, insufficient teaching and learning materials, abstract nature of genetics concepts, poor language skills. These seems to note about that Biology students encounter difficulties in Biology at senior secondary school level due to lack of enough time to teach genetic concepts evolution and ecology effectively as they formed in the aspect of curriculum at secondary schools as no specific time is allocated to them, Oke and Orimogunle (2010) state that lack of appropriate teaching strategy usually affects students' academic performance adversely in science subject due to the facts that most of science subjects are all abstract or difficult to learn. How these problems of poor performance can be alleviated so as to enable students perform better in biology. This study is aimed at providing strategies and

techniques of imparting knowledge which may probably reduce the poor performance of students in genetics such as problem solving and discovery teaching strategies.

Genetics is a branch of Biology that deals with the study of heredity and variations, the principle that account for the diversity of organism (Kala, 2012). According to Kala (2012) the understanding of genetics at colleges of educations and universities very much depend on the pre-requisite of understanding of genetic concepts like the cell it structures and function among others. A good knowledge of genetics is very important to students of Biology and related courses most especially in their later years of study (Okebukola, 2002) however, many students avoid genetics related questions in Biology at early secondary.

Previous studies Lawal (2005), Umah (2006), Araz (2007) and Lawal (2010) showed that, students have difficulties, and confusion in genetic and also this makes students have hate the topics and the situation

lead to poor performance of students in Biology especially at SSS level (WAEC 2015). Moreover, genetics is becoming more and more important in the societies (Banet and Ayuso, 2003). For example, the rapid advancement of genetics science, field by the human project and other related initiatives, promises a new kind of public health practice based on the pre-dictation of disease in relation to calculations of genetics risk or curing virulent disease by gene therapy (Bunton, 2001). Attitude is the most important and frequently research variable in science teaching Ibraheem (2008) defined attitude as a learner disposition to respond in a consistently or unfavourable manner with respect to a given objects, attitude of students towards science forms an aspect of this study is establish the differences if (any).

Retention ability is also a very important factor in learning science in general and Biology in particular. Hornby (2010) defined retention as the ability to remember things. Lakpini (2006) defined retention as the ability of the memory to store information which can be recalled after interval of weeks when exposed to a series of instructions and trainings. Bichi, (2002) viewed problem-solving as the process of moving towards a goal when the path to that goal uncertain. They added to solve a problem is to find a way around an obstacle and attain a desired end that is not attainable by appropriate mean. Adamu, (2014) problem-solving being an activity based where students learn by themselves through in which students acquire meaningful learning.

Bruner, (1968) & Mahmud (2009) viewed discovery strategy is the process of science teaching was postulated by using mental processes and manipulating scientific equipment and material and also allows students to carry activities by themselves to observed. James, (2000) viewed discovery strategy is the activity-based method among other which allows students to actively participate in learning activities and this make students to gain meaningful learning through discovery of certain concept on their own.

Attitude is one of the most important and frequent researched variable in science teaching Ibraheem (2008) defined attitude as a learner disposition to respond on a consistently favourable manner with respect to a given object. Attitude of students towards science form an aspect of this study so as to establish the difference (if any) in the attitude of students before and after exposure to constructivist instructional strategies. Another variable of this study is the issue of retention ability a learner to recall remember and recollect a body knowledge through instruction at given time duration.

Objective of the study

The objective of the study is to:

- ✓ Examine the impact of problem solving and discovery strategies on attitude, retention and academic performance in genetic concepts among SS II students.

Research question

- ✓ What is the impact of problem solving and discovery strategies on attitude, retention and academic performance in genetic concept among senior secondary school SS II students?

Null Hypothesis

H₀: There is no significant difference in the means score of attitude, retention and academic performance between SS II students taught genetics concept using problem solving, discovery strategies and those taught using lecture method.

METHODOLOGY

The research design for this study is pretest, posttest and retention test, quasi-experimental and control group, design using intact classes which does not allow for randomization of the subject (Kerlinger, 1975 & Sambo, 2008). The experimental group was given treatment that is be taught the genetic concept using Bruner model (1961) and Jensen (1996). The control group taught the same genetic concepts using

the lecture method at the end of the treatment period, a post-test (O_2) was be administered to both the group of students in order to evaluate the effectiveness or

otherwise of the treatment for enhancing the learning of genetics of concepts among SS II students. The research design illustration is presented in Fig 1




Figure 1 research design

Adopted from (Kerlinger, 1975 and Sambo 2008)

Key:

- EG₁ = Experimental group 1
- EG₂ = Experimental group 2
- CG = Control group
- X₁ = Problem-solving
- X₂ = Discovery-strategy
- X₀ = Control group
- XI = Pretest
- AP = Academic performance
- AT = Attitude
- O₂ = Posttest
- O₃ = Postposttest

The population of the study comprises five co-educational public secondary schools G.S.S Aminu, G.S.S Magajiya, G.S.S Kofan Kuyanbana, G.S.S Dakace, G.S.S Tudun Jukun, in Zaria metropolis. All SS II students from the target population. The reason for using co-educational school is because gender is involved in this study. The co-educational schools are located in two local government areas, Sabon Gari and Zaria, Sabon Gari has one school G.S.S Aminu, while Zaria has four schools G.S.S Kofan Kuyanbana, G.G.S.S Dakace, G.S.S Tudun Jukun, making a total of five co-educational

schools The no of students are 264 male and 263 female making a total of 527 students procedure.

The sample for the study was selected from the population of the study in the following ways: the 5 co-educational schools were given pretest and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the schools that do not differ significantly in their performance before the treatment. The results shows that there is significant difference scheffe’s test was carried out. Three schools was used, two experimental and one control consists of 163 male student 182 female students making a total number of 345.

Table 2: Sample for the Study

School	Group	M	F	Total
G.S.S. Dakace	Experimental I	47	61	108
G.S.S Magajiya	Experimental II	53	69	122
G.S.S T/Jukun	Control	63	52	115
Total		163	182	345

The treatment of the study involves exposing SS II students to genetic concepts using problem-solving strategy and discovery strategy as well two experimental groups. The experimental group will be taught for six weeks 80 minutes per period by research. The concepts to be taught are cell structure, function of cell, cell division and difference between mitosis and meiosis while the control group will be taught the same concepts using lecture method for the same period of time. Treatment administration was carried out under the concepts.

RQ1: What is the difference in the academic performance of mean score of SS II students taught genetic concept using problem-solving, discovery strategies compared to their counterpart taught using lecture method?

The data collected mean to answer the research question, post-test scores of EG1, EG2, CG students were subjected to descriptive statistic mean and standard deviation were computed in Table 3

Table 3: Research question: what is the impact of constructivist strategies problem solving, discovery and academic performance in genetic concept among SSS.

Group	N	Mean	Std	Mean df
1) Discovery	122	26.08	26.31	5.94
2) Problem-solving	108	25.86	30.11	5.72
3) Control	115	20.14	33.43	

The mean different between discovery and control is 5.94 and mean different of problem-solving and control is 5.72.

RESULTS

From the table 3 the results show that students taught genetics concept using problem-solving has the mean of 25.86 S.D. 30.11 while discovery strategy has the mean of 26.08 and S.D. 26.31 which perhaps discovery strategy and problem solve have more impact on students than control i.e. lecture method showing discovery strategy with higher mean scores.

Null Hypothesis One: There is no significant differences in the academic performance of post-test mean score of SS II students taught genetic concepts using problem solving and discovery teaching strategies compared to their counterparts taught using lecture method.

To test this hypothesis, post-test data EG1, EG2 and CG were subjected to ANOVA in Table 4

Table 4: One way ANOVA for Posttest Scores of Problem-Solving and Discovery Strategy

Variable	Sum of square	Df	Mean of square	F	P	Remark
Between groups	3146.011	2	1573.00	7.037	0.001	S
Within groups	7644.837	343	222.533			

Significant $P < 0.05$

In Table 4 the results show that P value is 0.001 which is less than 0.05 at df 2, since the P value

observed 0.001 which is less than $P < 0.05$. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected at $P < .05$ level of

significant. There is significant difference in academic performance in the mean score between students taught genetics concepts using problem solving, and

discovery strategies and those expose to lecture method in senior secondary school.

Table 5: Result of scheffe’s post-hoc test mean score multiple comparisons between experimental and control group.

Group		Post-hoc test			
		M.D	S.D	SIG	Remark
Experimental I problem- solving	Experimental II Discovery	0.78	1.97	0.92	N.S
	Control	6.78	2.0	0.04	Sig
Experimental II discovery	Problem-solving	0.78	1.97	0.92	N.S
	Control	5.99	1.94	0.09	N.S
Control group	Experimental I problem-solving	-6.78	2.0	0.04	Sig
	Experimental II discovery strategy	-5.99	1.9	0.09	N.S

* Significant $P \leq 0.05$

Result from Table 5 show that there is no significant difference in the academic performance means scores between student in the experimental groups 1 and 2 with $P=0.92$ which is greater than 0.05 . However, significant difference was found between the control group and EG1 with P-value of 0.04 which is less than 0.05 . Similarly, no significant difference was found between the control group and EG2 with P-value of 0.09 which is greater than the statistically P-value of 0.05 while experimental group 2 and control group show P-value of 0.09 which indicate no significant difference because of the P-value observed is higher than the $P \leq 0.05$. It can be concluded that both discovery and problem solving strategies are effective in improving the performance of student in genetic concept compared to control group and discovery strategy has more significant effect than the problem-solving strategy.

Major Findings

Significant difference exists taught genetic concept performance among students taught genetic concept using problem-solving, and lecture method, discovery strategies and lecture method in favour of students taught genetic concepts using problem and discovery strategy. But there is no significant difference between the academic performance mean score students taught using problem-solving and discovery strategies.

- There is no significant difference in the academic performance mean scores of male and female SS II students taught genetic concept, using problem-solving strategy and lecture method showing that these two strategies are gender friendly, but is significant difference in academic performance mean scores of male and female students when taught using problem solving strategy in favour of male students.

DISCUSSION OF THE RESULT

This study investigating the impact problem-solving, discovery strategies on attitude, retention and academic performance in genetic concepts among secondary school students in Zaria.

From the Table 3 the results show that students taught genetics concept using problem-solving has the means score of 25.86 & Standard deviation 30.11 while discovery strategy has 26.08 mean score and standard deviation 26.31 which discovery strategy has more impact on students than others strategy. The result from Table 4 the results shows that P value is 0.001 which is less than 0.05 at df 2, since the P value observed 0.001 which is less than $P < 0.05$. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected at $P < 0.05$ level of significant. There is significant difference in academic performance in the mean score between students taught genetic concepts using problem-solving and discovery strategies and those exposed to lecture method in Senior Secondary School.

Result from Table 5 shows that there is no significant difference in the academic performance mean scores between students in the experimental groups. 1 & 2 with $P = 0.92$ which is greater than 0.05, however, significant difference was found between the control & EG₁ with P value of 0.04 which is less than 0.05 similarly no significant difference was found between the control group & EG₂ with P value 0.09 which is greater than the statistically P value of 0.05 while experimental group 2 and shows P value of 0.09 which indicate no significant difference because of the P value observed is higher than the $P < 0.05$. It can be concluded that both discovery and problem-solving strategies are effective in improving the performance of students in genetic concepts compared to control group and discovery strategy has more significant impact than the problem-solving strategy.

There is significant different found between the three groups is likely to be due to use of problem-solving and discovery strategies (activity oriented method) on the experimental groups since two experimental groups performed significantly better

than the control group. Problem-solving and discovery strategies have significant impact on academic performance in genetic concept among secondary school students improved their performance.

The result agrees with the earlier findings of Bichi (2002) reported the effectiveness of problem-solving investigated instructional strategy on academic achievement and retention of Biology concept taught at Senior Secondary School Students. The findings further suggest that the impact of problem solving strategy on attitude, retention on academic performance led to better performance by students in genetic concepts.

Nwafor, (2007) used problem-solving and inquiry strategies on attitude, retention in genetic concepts among Secondary School Students which enhance the teaching and learning genetic concepts and thus better in academic performance by the students. Galadima, (2001) conducted his study using problem-solving and lecture method in Mathematics and the study revealed that those taught with problem solving strategy performed better than those taught using the lecture method.

Bunkure, (2012) investigated the constructivist instructional strategy on academic performance retention, attitude in Physics among Secondary School Students. The findings suggested that constructivist instructional strategy led to more effective learning and higher retention than the traditional method.

CONCLUSION

From the findings of the study, the following conclusion are drawn. Student taught using problem solving and discovery strategies performed better in attitude, retention and academic performance in genetics concept among secondary school and also use of problem solving and discovery strategies potentially of enhancing academic performance in genetics concept as well as improving attitude and retention ability of the students.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations are made:

- ✓ The teaching of biology especially genetic should be conducted in such a way that students learn meaningful and develop positive attitude, retention towards the subject. The use impacts problem-solving and discovery strategies seem to appropriate in that respect, it should therefore be incorporated into the main strategies of teaching and learning genetics
- ✓ The use of Problem-solving and discovery strategies in teaching has been found to be more effective than the lecture method in order to enhance teaching and learning genetic concept.
- ✓ In service training for science teachers in form of seminar/workshop, conference should focus more on how to use impact of problem-solving and discovery strategies on attitude, relation and performance in genetic concept. The government or relevant professional bodies like science teachers, association of Nigeria (STAN) could do this.
- ✓ Professional association such as Science Teachers Association (STAN), Mathematics Association of Nigeria (MAN) and Nigerian Educational Research Development Council (NERDC) should organize workshops on training and retraining of teachers on the use of problem solving and discovery strategies.

REFERENCES

- Adamu, G. J. (2014). Effects of Problem-solving instructional strategy on efficacy, creativity and academic performance in genetic among NCE students unpublished PhD thesis ABU, Zaria.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (2006) *Science for all American New York*.
- Bichi S. S. (2002). The effects of problem-solving strategy in enhancing curriculum on student academic performance in evolution concept among secondary schools' students unpublished PhD Thesis A.B.U. Zaria.
- Bunkure Y. I. (2012). Effect of constructivist instructional strategy on academic performance, retention, attitude in physic among senior secondary schools' students of different ability level unpublished PhD Thesis A.B.U. Zaria.
- Bunton, R. (2001) *New Genetics and Public Health* London, U.K. Routledge.
- Damide C. O. (2000). Enhancing the Transition from Concrete to Formal Cognitive Performance in Chemistry. An Unpublished M. Ed. Science Education A.T.B.U. Bauchi.
- Federal Government of Nigeria (2013) *National Policy on Education*, Federal Government Press Lagos, Nigeria.
- Galadima I. (2001). The Effects of Heuristic problem-solving strategies on senior secondary schools, achievement in Algebra unpublished dissertation A.B.U. Zaria.
- Jibrin, D. (2001) Comparative Effects Problem-solving and Expository in Solving World Problem in Mathematic Unpublished M. Ed Thesis Faculty of Education, A.B.U. Zaria.
- Kala M.D. (2012). The Effects of Enhance Cooperative Learning Strategy on Students Performance and Relation of Senior Secondary School Biology Unpublished M. Ed. Science Education A.T.B.U. Bauchi.
- Kerlinger, F. N. (1975) *Foundation of Behavioural Research*. Holt Renohart and Wiston
- Lawal F. K. (2009) Effects of Conceptual change on Instruction Strategy in Remediating Misconception in Genetics Concepts Among Senior Secondary School Students in Kano. An Unpolished Ph.D. Dissertation Faculty of Education, A.B.U. Zaria.
- Lawal F. K. (2009). Relationship between Qualifying Examination (QE) and National Examination Council (NECO) result in Biology in selected schools of Kano Metropolis Journal of Studies

- in Science and Mathematics Department of Education, A.B.U.
- Mahmud A. (2009). Effects of Discovery and Lecture Method on Academic Performance in genetic concept among NCE students in North West Unpublished Master's Thesis, A.B.U, Zaria.
- Nwafor, D. M. (2007). Education innovation processes and product. Enugu Magnet Business Enterprises.
- Okebukola P. A. (2002). Attaining Meaningful of Concept in Genetics and Ecology. An Examination of the Potency or Concept. *Journal of Science Secondary School Biology 182, 1-9.*
- Olarinoye R.D. (2001). Science Education as a Basic for Technological Development. A Paper Presented at 1st National Conference F.C.E. (Tech) Gombe State.
- Oloyede O.I. (2008) Problem of Teaching and Learning Science in Primary and Junior Umah, M. D. (2006). Availability and use of Human Material Resources in the Teaching of Ecology in Senior Secondary in Anambra State Nig. *In New (Ed) Proceeding of the 4th - Annual Conference of Science Teacher Association of Nigeria (128-113) Ibadan, Nigeria STAN.*
- Otuka J.E. (2006). The Teacher as a key to National Development and Technological Break through. *A Paper Presented at a National Conferences Organized by School of Science Federal College of Education, Zaria, Kaduna State 4th - 7th AprilD.*
- Sambo A.A. (2008). Research Methods in Education Ibadan Sterling Hordan Published Nigeria Limited. Secondary Schools. A Workshop Paper Presented as SUBEB Teaching Training workshop Bauchi State 28th-31st Jan. Lallatosian interpretation science.
- Umeh M. D. (2002). Reducing Teacher Instructional Difficulties in some content areas of senior secondary school biology curriculum for sustainable development proceeding of the 43rd Annual Conference and Inaugural conference of CASTME Africa. Heine Education Book, Nigeria 223-291.
- Wasagu, M. A. (2007). Foundation Science Technology and Mathematics Education for National Economic Empowerment and Development. *A lead Paper Presented at School of Science Conference F.C.E., Zaria, Kaduna State 2nd -3rd, April.*
- West African Examination Council (WAEC) 2015) Senior School Certificate Examination Chief Examiners Report.
- Zayun S. D. (2008). Performance Enhancement Skills for Junior Secondary School. A Paper Presented at Bauchi State SUBED Workshop 25th - 31st Jan.