

Metal Composition of Leachate and Soil in the Vicinity of Solid Waste Dumpsite in Bauchi Metropolis, Bauchi State, Nigeria

Jumma, Alkana M.¹, Garba, Fatima A.² & Samuel, Sunday A.³ ¹Department of Integrated Science, School of Science, ²⁸³Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Adamu Tafawa Balewa College of Education Kangere, Bauchi State, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

The study examined the metal composition of leachate and soil in the vicinity of solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis, Bauchi State. Two specific objectives and two research questions were formulated to guide the conduct of this study. The study was necessitated as a result of the ever-increasing toxicity influx and the impact produced by the leachate on micro-flora and micro-fauna which has resulted in low farm produce, release of obnoxious gases into the environment and contamination of the domestic water sources. In an attempt to improve these conditions, 12 different samples of leachate and soil were collected from 12 different solid waste dumpsites in Bauchi metropolis. Inductively, Couple Plasma Emission Spectrometer was used to analyse the samples collected so as to determine the presence of heavy metals and their level of concentration in each solid waste dumpsite within the Bauchi metropolis. The results of heavy metals analysis indicate that the dumpsite soils and leachates have elevated concentration of chromium and lead. Cadmium and Copper were within the normal range in soils and leachates. The study was able to show the impact of heavy metals in some dumpsites within Bauchi metropolis. The study adopted the quasi experimental design. This is because the design does not allow the use of randomization of the population of the study. Specifically, the non-equivalent group test-posttest design was used in which intact classes were chosen for both experiment and control groups respectively. The numbered that constituted the population was 1049 and the study had a sample of 120 respondents. The simple random sampling technique was used to select the sample. The instrument used for collecting data in this study was a constructed questionnaire. The researcher administers pretest to both groups in order to verify the presence or absence of any difference between the two groups that is the experimental and control. The experimental group would use information technology based instructional teaching strategy, while the control group would use the conventional method of teaching. Thereafter, the two groups experimental and control would be subjected to pre-test and posttest to ascertain the effect of Metal Composition of Leachate and Soil in the Vicinity of Solid Waste Dumpsite in Bauchi Metropolis, Bauchi State, Nigeria. The study was able to show the impact of heavy metals in some dumpsites within

ARTICLE INFO

Article History Received: June, 2022 Received in revised form: August, 2022 Accepted: November, 2022 Published online: December, 2022

KEYWORDS

Metal Composition, Leachate, Soil, Solid Waste, Dumpsite.

^{*}Corresponding author: Jumma, Alkana, M. 🖾 jummaelkana43@gmail.com 🖾 Department of Integrated Sciecnce, Adamu Tafawa Balewa College of Education, Kangere, Bauchi, Bauchi State. © 2022 Faculty of Techn. Educ., ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved



Bauchi metropolis and strong linear relationship that exist between some physicochemical parameter and heavy metals. In view of the conclusion drawn from the study, it was recommended among others that the dumpsite which was observed to have elevated level of chromium and lead should be evacuated or moved to a safe location. Farmers who patronize these dumpsites for cheap manure should be advised on the inherent dangers associated with the waste.

INTRODUCTION

The final disposition of solid waste is a practice that still brings serious impact to the environment generating pollution by-products such as leachate, a dark-coloured, strong smelling highly toxic liquid. Leachate originates from the decomposition of organic matter, the intensity of pluvial waters and other liquids derived from waste (Bertazzoli and Pelegrini, 2002). The toxicity and impact provoked for the leachate on microflora and microfauna is very strong and they are influenced by various factors such as organic matter, heavy metals and nitrogen concentration as well as mass flux of contaminations being transported, (Isidori et al., 2003). Studies have shown that soil at refuse dumpsites contain different kinds and concentrations of heavy metals, depending on the age, contents and location (Odukoya et al., 2009). In recent times, it has been reported that heavy metals from waste dumpsites can be accumulated and persists in soils at an environmentally hazardous level (Amusan et al., 2005).

In Nigeria, leachate from refuse dumpsites constitutes a source of heavy metals pollution to both soil and aquatic environment (Ande et al., 2009). Nevertheless, most abandoned waste dumpsites in Nigeria have been used extensively as fertile ground for cultivating variety of vegetables. Identifying compounds that cause the toxicity in the leachate is not easy because the physicalchemical characteristics of leachate is highly variable and dependant on the following factors; local environmental conditions, time elapsed after waste disposal and landfill characteristics as well (Bertazzoli and Pelegrini, 2002). Toxicity tests are bioassays used in pollution control for determining the maximum permitted concentrations of a given chemical agent for the development/survival of certain living organisms (Buratini et al., 2004). Toxic compounds can have two different effects on living organisms; acute toxicity which is possible to evaluate in the short term upon the death of the organism, and chronic toxicity, whose evaluation takes longer time, in this case, sub-lethal effects must be analyzed (Buratini et al., 2004).

Heavy metals concentrations in soil are associated with biological and geochemical cycles and are influenced by anthropogenic activities such as agricultural practices, industrials activities and waste disposal method (Zauyah et al., 2004). Contamination and subsequent pollution of the environment by toxic heavy metals has become an issue of global concern due to their sources, wide spread distribution and multiple effects on the ecosystem. In Nigeria, leachates from refuses dumpsites constitute sources of heavy metal pollution to both soil and aquatic environment (Odukova et al., 2000). Most cultivating verities of vegetable even though, research works have indicated that some common vegetables are capable of accumulating high levels of heavy metals from contaminated and polluted soil (Cobb et al., 2000). This constitutes serious health and environmental concern because of the photo toxicity of these metals to the plants and the potential health implication to humans and animals consuming such vegetables (Cobb et al., 2000).

The realization of the polluting effect of dumpsites leachate on the environment has prompted a number of studies in an attempt to mitigate the effect but the resulting effect still sufficed. Leachate is discharged into the environmental media without treatment. This has resulted in low farm produce, release of obnoxious gases into the environment, contamination of the domestic water sources. It is



on this backdrop that the researchers investigated metal composition of leachate and soil in the vicinity of solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis of Bauchi State Nigeria.

Aim and Objectives of the Study

The main Aim of the study is to determine the metal composition of leachate and soil in the vicinity of solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis of Bauchi State Nigeria. Specifically, the objectives of the study are:

- 1. To determine the metal compositions of leachate and soil around solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis.
- 2. To find out how presence of solid waste dumpsitesparameters were adopted in accordance with contribute to contamination of the environment instandard procedure and guidelines by World Bauchi metropolis.

Research Questions

Based the aforementioned on objectives, the following research questions were formulated:

- 1. What metal compositions are found in leachate and soil around solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis?
- In what ways do solid waste dumpsites contribute toproduce, release of obnoxious gases into the 2. contamination of the environment in Bauchienvironment and contamination of the domestic metropolis?

METHODOLOGY

Leachate and soil samples were collected from vicinities of the municipal solid waste (MSW) dumpsites by digging a hole about 0-30cm deep water was poured on the solid wastes and it drained into hole. The Leachate was scooped and filter with 250 mm filter paper into one liter plastics bottle. Soil sample were randomly taken from each dumpsite and control at a depth of 0-15cm. One each of both Leachate and soil samples were obtained from the study locations makings a total of 24 samples respectively for soil and Leachate. Two replicates of each point were taken and toughly mixed together for soil samples and from which a preventative sample was obtained. For every dumpsite and its control; two preventative soil samples were collected for the study. The control soil samples were obtained at 10m radius away

from the dumpsite. The soil as well as Leachate were labeled and stored in polythene bags and plastic bottles respectively and transported to the laboratory. The soil samples were air-dried between 24 - 48 hours and later sieved through a 2-mm mesh and stored for subsequent analysis. The soil and Leachate samples were collected and then labeled as SIBHE, LIBHE, CIBHE, SMHE, LMHE, CHME, STHE, LTHE, CTHE, SMM, LMM, CMM, SIQ, LIQ, CIQ, SGW, LGW, CGW, SSK, LSK, CSK, SRM, LRM, CRM, SRZ, LRZ, CRZ, SYM, LYM, CYM, SYT, LYT, CYT, SYK, LYK and CYK. The physiochemical

Health Organization.

The study examined the metal composition of leachate and soil in the vicinity of solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis, Bauchi State. The study was necessitated as a result of the ever-increasing toxicity influx and the impact produced by the leachate on micro-flora and micro-fauna which has resulted in low farm

water sources. In an attempt to improve these conditions, 12 different samples of leachate and soil were collected from 12 different solid waste dumpsites in Bauchi metropolis. Inductively, Couple Plasma Emission Spectrometer was used to analyse the samples collected so as to determine the presence of heavy metals and their level of concentration in each solid waste dumpsite within the Bauchi metropolis. The results of heavy metals analysis indicate that the dumpsite soils and leachates have elevated concentration of chromium and lead. Cadmium and Copper were within the normal range in soils and leachates. The study was able to show the impact of heavy metals in some dumpsites within Bauchi metropolis. The study adopted the quasi experimental design. This is because the design does not allow the use of randomization of the population of the study. Specifically, the nonequivalent group test-posttest design was used in which intact classes were chosen for both



experiment and control groups respectively. The researcher administers pretest to both groups in order to verify the presence or absence of any difference between the two groups that is the experimental and control. The experimental group would use information technology based instructional teaching strategy, while the control group would use the conventional method of teaching. Thereafter, the two groups experimental and control would be subjected to pre-test and posttest to ascertain the effect of Metal Composition of Leachate and Soil in the Vicinity of Solid Waste Dumpsite in Bauchi Metropolis, Bauchi State, Nigeria.

RESULTS

The results of physicochemical parameters and metal analysis of soil and leachate from the twelve dumpsites in Bauchi metropolis are presented in tables as follows:

SITES	P ^H		Temp. (°c)		EC (µm/cm)		O.M (%)		Pay (mg/kg)		Say (mg/kg)		TDS (mg/I)		O.C (%)	
	s	С	s	С	s	С	s	С	s	С	S	С	S	С	S	С
IBHE	7.26	6.74	24.70	24.40	161.50	29.50	3.35	-	20.10	14.20	23.08	16.88	1090.5	226.00	1.29	1.08
	±0.01	±0.01	±0.02	±0.80	±17.00	±1.00	±0.03		±0.08	±0.08	±0.13	±0.04	±15.00	±2.00	±0.03	±0.07
MHE	7.2	7.30	29.30	29.55	25	13	3.35	-	42.26	24.07	20.77	20.60	222.00	139.00	2.32	1.59
	±0.03	±0.02	±0.00	±0.10	±0.00	±0.00	±0.03		±0.00	±0.01	±0.01	±0.05	±2.00	±1.10	±0.04	±0.02
THE	7.47	7.22	24.70	24.80	36.50	16.00	4.45		55.38	13.79	29.56	10.70	273.00	135.00	1.63	1.15
	±0.02	±0.01	±0.20	±0.00	±1.00	±0.00	±0.10		±0.53	±0.75	±0.70	±0.02	±0.02	±2.60	±0.02	±0.02
ММ	7.80	7.29	29.20	29.20	12.50	8.50	5.10		56.92	8.56	7.16	1.05	146.50	126.50	3.43	1.04
	±0.00	±0.03	±0.00	±0.00	±1.00	±1.00	±0.20		±0.32	±0.16	±0.08	±0.10	±1.00	±1.00	±0.07	±0.13
IQ	7.78	7.55	24.35	24.9	39	19	6.00	-	41.08	22.94	23.07	17.88	291.5	156.5	2.21	1.44
	±0.01	±0.01	±0.30	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.40		±0.30	±0.15	±0.14	±0.24	±0.10	±0.10	±0.02	±0.05
GW	6.9	6.47	29.3	29.3	33	11	6.5	-	55.08	15.98	35.15	8.90	275	138.5	2.00	0.82
	±0.00	±0.03	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.20		±0.67	±0.48	±0.15	±0.41	±0.20	±0.10	±0.03	±0.13
SK	7.6	7.49	24.55	24.55	28	15.5	6.05		52.21	14.74	31.85	8.20	216	131	2.33	1.28
	±0.01	±0.00	±0.01	±0.10	±0.00	±0.10	±0.10		±0.14	±0.08	±0.71	±0.08	±0.03	±0.20	±0.05	±0.00
RM	6.29	5.94	21.15	20.70	20	14	7.10	-	55.94	16.80	31.9	7.91	161.5	115	3.10	1.14
	±0.01	±0.02	±0.71	±0.00	±0.00	±0.10	±0.20		±0.35	±0.43	±0.04	±0.18	±0.30	±0.20	±0.03	±0.09
RZ	6.49	6.6	22.30	21.70	21	15	7.05	-	43.74	22.26	29.40	10.60	266	116	2.28	1.42
	±0.02	±0.01	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.10		±0.47	±0.13	±0.30	±0.08	±0.20	±0.10	±0.02	±0.00
YM	7.55	7.32	22.40	20.60	20	14	7.00	-	41.60	20.33	23.33	16.77	274	133	2.22	1.30
	±0.00	±0.00	±0.30	±0.10	±0.00	±0.10	±0.20		±0.00	±0.01	±0.13	±0.04	±1.00	±1.00	±0.03	±0.13
YT	7.22	6.97	24.50	24.30	22	19	5.45		46.45	14.33	20.60	20.35	147	134	2.32	1.52
	±0.03	±0.02	±0.01	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.10		±0.08	±0.08	±0.01	±0.05	±0.02	±2.42	±0.04	±0.02
YK	6.7	6.47	29.3	29.3	29	16	4.50		48.50	16.20	35.16	8.77	216	131	3.32	1.04
	±0.01	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.00	±0.20		±0.14	±0.08	±0.15	±0.43	±0.03	±0.20	±0.07	±0.13

Table 1: Mean Physicochemical Parameters and Metal Analysis of Dumpsites soil (June – July)

IBHE → Ibrahim <u>Bako</u> Housing Estate, <u>MHE→ Makama</u> Housing Estate, <u>THE → Tambari</u> Housing Estate, <u>MM →</u> <u>Masakan Makafi</u>, IQ → Igbo Quarters, <u>GW</u> → <u>Gwallaga</u>, <u>SK</u> → <u>Sabon Kaura</u>, <u>RM</u> → <u>Rafin Makaranta</u>, <u>RZ</u> → <u>Rafin</u> <u>Zurfi</u>, <u>YM</u> → <u>Yelwan Makaranta</u>, <u>YT</u> → <u>Yelwan Tudu</u>, <u>YK</u>→<u>Yelwan Kagadama</u>



SITES	Cd (mg/kg)		Cr (mg/kg)		Pb (n	ng/kg)	Cu (mg/kg)	
	S	С	S	С	S	С	S	С
IBHE	0.85	0.06	5.04	0.31	4.13	0.08	0.79	0.29
	±0.02	±0.00	±0.02	±0.02	±0.11	±0.01	±0.03	±0.05
MHE	0.77	0.03	7.03	1.89	6.25	0.17	1.16	0.17
	±0.02	±0.03	±0.05	±0.02	±0.01	±0.02	±0.11	±0.02
THE	0.21	0.04	7.94	0.13	10.63	0.03	2.07	0.22
	±0.08	±0.00	±0.15	±0.02	±0.74	±0.00	±0.06	±0.03
íM	1.21	0.08	8.31	0.21	6.67	0.16	1.70	0.29
	±0.03	±0.02	±0.03	±0.03	±0.06	±0.04	±0.01	±0.05
Q	0.24	0.57	3.76	0.83	3. 76	0.20	1.23	0.18
	±0.00	±0.03	±0.03	±0.09	±0.02	±0.03	±0.02	±0.01
GW	1.23	0.06	8.42	0.21	6.84	0.09	1.79	0.51
	±0.01	±0.00	±0.24	±0.01	±0.40	±0.04	±0.06	±0.02
к	0.31	0.05	8.10	0.19	12.38	0.03	2.57	0.21
	±0.02	±0.01	±0.08	±0.06	±0.06	±0.00	±0.04	±0.01
м	2.33	0.08	9.12	0.25	6.82	0.08	1.79	0.14
	±0.04	±0.00	±0.11	±0.02	±0.02	±0.03	±0.06	±0.01
εz	1.22	0.04	8.12	0.19	6.45	0.16	1.64	0.18
	±0.08	±0.00	±0.03	±0.06	±0.01	±0.02	±0.04	±0.02
ζ M	0.72	0.08	3.72	0.82	10.25	0.04	2.04	0.20
	±0.02	±0.03	±0.10	±0.02	±0.64	±0.01	±0.06	±0.03
T	1.15	0.08	7.90	0.13	4.12	0.08	1.73	0.18
	±0.01	±0.00	±0.15	±0.02	±0.10	±0.01	±0.01	±0.02
YK	1.23	0.06	7.03	1.83	6.52	0.13	1.16	0.18
	±0.01	±0.02	±0.05	±0.02	±0.06	±0.02	±0.10	±0.02

Temp. →Temperature, EC→ Electrical Conductivity, TDS → Total Dissolved Solid, Pax → Phosphorus Available, Sax → Sulphur Available, OM→ Organic Matter, OC→ Organic Carbon, S→ Soil, C→ Control

Table 1 show that the soil analyzed was slightly alkaline with P^H ranging from 6.29 – 7.80. The EC was low with the highest value of 161.50ms/cm at Ibrahim Bako Housing Estate.

The heavy metals with the highest value are Pb(12.38mg/kg) and Cr(9.12mg/kg) at SK and RM respectively.

SITES	P ^H	Temp. (°c)	EC (μm/em)	TS (Mg/l)	TDS (Mg/l)	TSS (Mg/l)	TA (Mg/l)	C1- (Mg/l)	S ² (Mg/l)	Cd (Mg/l)	Cr (Mg/l)	Pb (Mg/l)	Cu (Mg/I)
IBHE	5.24	26	82.00	0.59	609.50	33.00	678.50	301.50	421.90	0.08	0.004	1.81	1.33
	±0.03	±0.00	±0.0	±0.02	±5.00	±2.00	±0.40	±10.60	±36.40	±0.00	±0.001	±0.61	±0.06
MHE	5.93	25.8	118.00	0.89	852.50	50.50	31.10	17.75	23.55	0.18	0.002	2.60	1.99
	±0.09	±0.00	±2.00	±0.03	±9.00	±1.00	±2.20	±0.50	±1.90	±0.04	±0.001	±0.82	±0.33
THE	5.48	27.1	26.50	0.32	233.5	21.4	82.05	73.40	259.00	0.11	0.010	2.25	1.19
	±0.01	±0.00	±1.00	±0.03	±1.00	±0.60	±0.50	±1.30	±2.30	±0.02	±0.008	±0.12	±0.05
ММ	5.25	24.6	168.50	1.18	120.2	58.50	701.70	50.85	83.60	0.22	0.006	2.14	1.11
	±0.03	±0.00	±2.00	±0.04	±2.00	±3.00	±0.60	±0.03	±0.09	±0.08	±0.002	±1.10	±1.05
IQ	5.85	24.20	88.00	0.64	714.5	29.5	752.95	140.5	226.75	0.06	0.005	3.04	1
	±0.30	±0.00	±0.20	±0.05	±0.11	±0.10	±175.9	±47.00	±32.50	±0.03	±0.003	±0.06	±0.02
GW	6.17	24.20	70.00	0.79	828	45	614.15	327.5	277.95	0.11	0.003	0.87	0.98
	±0.06	±0.00	±0.40	±0.01	±0.04	±0.00	±133.3	±17.00	±34.70	±0.02	±0.002	±0.09	±0.73
ĸ	6.1	24.10	27.00	0.32	233.5	21.14	82.06	73.55	25.9	0.11	0.011	0.57	1.66
	±0.17	±0.00	±0.10	±0.03	±0.10	±0.60	±0.56	±1.30	±0.23	±0.06	±0.001	±0.50	±0.68
M	6.46	24.20	109.00	1.07	1101	35	90.95	96.1	255.6	0.19	0.005	2.15	0.84
	±0.70	±0.00	±0.20	±0.06	±0.38	±0.20	±3.32	±40.00	±19.80	±0.02	±0.004	±1.12	±0.04
z	5.74	25.2	86.00	0.66	728	46	120.15	76.40	255.00	0.08	0.006	0.67	1.28
	±0.03	±0.00	±0.20	±0.02	±2.00	±2.00	±0.30	±0.50	±1.90	±0.15	±0.003	±0.09	±0.33
м	5.26	26.3	108.00	0.56	642.50	34.5	608.50	136.5	96.70	0.18	0.008	0.57	0.68
	±0.30	±0.00	±2.00	±0.03	±1.00	±0.30	±0.40	±30.00	±1.80	±0.04	±0.002	±0.10	±0.05
YТ	6.32	24.8	82.00	0.74	830.5	42	710.25	52.80	224.60	0.13	0.004	2.10	0.44
	±0.30	±0.00	±0.00	±0.01	±0.30	±0.10	±2.00	±0.50	±0.80	±0.06	±0.001	±0.12	±0.19
ĸ	5.48	24.10	110.00	0.59	648	50.5	98.2	333.2	298.00	0.15	0.006	0.79	1.40
	±0.01	±0.00	±0.30	±0.01	±0.38	±0.60	±0.38	±0.60	±3.02	±0.02	±0.002	±0.09	±0.13



Table 2 shows that Pb (3.04mg/l) has the highest value out of the four (4) heavy metals analyzed. While P^H is within the range 5.24 – 6.46 and EC is low with the highest value at 168.50 μ m/cm.

CHARTS

Results of the analysis of leachates, soil and control in the different dumpsites and variation in the mean concentration of each heavy metal are presented in Figure 1 - 6 below.





Figure 1: Variation of heavy metals in soil and leachate at Ibrahim Bako Housing Estate (IBHE) and Makama Housing Estate (MH

















Figure 5: Variation of heavy metals in soil and leachate at Rafin Zurfi (RZ) and Yelwan Makarata

^{*}Corresponding author: Jumma, Alkana, M. 🖾 jummaelkana43@gmail.com 🖾 Department of Integrated Sciecnce, Adamu Tafawa Balewa College of Education, Kangere, Bauchi, Bauchi State. © 2022 Faculty of Techn. Educ., ATBU Bauchi. All rights reserved





Figure 6: Variation of heavy metals in soil and leachate at Yelwan Tudu (YT) and Yelwan Kagadama (YK)

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

Specifically, the findings of the study are made as follows:

- The metal compositions of leachate 1 and soil around solid waste dumpsite in Bauchi metropolis.
- 2. The presence of solid waste dumpsites Electrical contribute to contamination of the environment inconsidered as a measurement of the amount of Bauchi metropolis.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The average P^H levels in the soil and leachate sample under study was 7.19 for soil and 5.77 for leachate as shown in table 1 and 2. The soil was slightly alkaline and the leachate slightly acidic. This can affect the absorption of nutrients and also drop in crop production especially in place of dipper. Siebe (1994) determined the standard P^H range in soil and leachate to be used in agriculture to be 6.5 - 8.5so the average and the change scope are acceptable. David et al (2009) opine that availability, retention and mobility of nutrients is

affected by the P^H, several other physicochemical parameters and heavy metals in the soil. Soil with low P^H levels tent to increase micronutrient solubility and mobility as well as decrease heavy metal concentration in the soil, while increasing its concentration in ground water.

conductivity (EC) is dissolved salts in a solution (Motsara and Roy, 2008). The dumpsites mean EC range for soil and leachates are from 12.50 - 161.50µm/cm and 26.50 - 168.50µm/cm respectively. These were higher than those reported by Uba et al (2008) as 0.42 - 4.00 µm/cm. This was due to the arid nature of the soil.

The concentration of the phosphorus available (Pav) in the dumpsite soil ranges from 20.10 -56.92mg/kg. The concentration of phosphorus were within the range 11.00 -46.00mg/kg as reported by Eddy et al (2006) but lower than those reported by Agyarko et al (2010) i.e. 53.41 - 271.60mg/kg. The high phosphorus in dumpsite available soil



encourages good plant growth. Phosphorus is among the essential element for healthy plant growth. Soil pollution by phosphorus is not a significant environmental issue except when it leached in the surrounding water (Eddy *et al*, 2006).

The organic carbon (OC) percentage obtained in this study ranges from 1.29 - 3.43%. These were lower than those reported by Uba *et al* (2008) as 3.72 - 6.10% but fall within the range reported by David *et al* (2008) as 2.90 - 3.41%. Organic matter is one of the most important parameters controlling the accumulation and availability of heavy metals in soil environment (David *et al* 2009, Myung, 2008).

Cadmium (Cd) is a toxic metal having functions neither in human body or animals or plants. It is found in materials like motor car tyres and in burning of fossil fuel such as coal or oil. This current study reveals the mean concentration range of Cadmium for soils and leachates as 0.01 - 2.33mg/l and 0.06 - 0.22mg/l respectively. These concentrations were quite lower than those reported by David *et al* (2009) as 1.28 - 21.31mg/l but higher than those ascertained by Agyarko *et al* (2010) as 0.14 - 0.90mg/l and within the range as reported by Okorokwo *et al* (2006) as 1.64 - 2.50mg/l.

Chromium (Cr) is a toxic heavy metal. It occurs naturally in soil at a normal range of 5 - 15000 mg/l. It is found in the mushroom, coal and oil combustion. In this study, the mean concentration of chromium in the dumpsites soil and leachate were found to range from 3.72 - 9.12 mg/l and 0.002 - 0.011 mg/l respectively. These concentrations were lower than those reported by Agyarko *et al* (2010) as 13.00 - 24.20 mg/l but within the range as reported by Oviasogie *et al* (2009) as 3.50 - 9.00 mg/l.

Increase Lead (Pb) concentration in the soil is usually attributed to industrial activities (Eddy *et al*, 2006). Lead is found in car batteries, radiators and inks. The result of this study showed a mean lead concentration of soil and leachates in the dumpsites as 3.76 - 12.38mg/l and 0.57 - 3.04mg/l respectively. These lead

concentrations were below the normal soil and leachate concentration of 15 - 25mg/l as reported by Eddy *et al* (2006) and also below the concentrations reported by Agyarko *et al* (2010) as 8.59 - 9.20mg/l.

Copper (Cu) is an essential micronutrient required by plants for their healthy growth. The normal range of copper in soil falls within 7 - 8.0ppm (Eddy et al (2006). The concentration of copper for the dumpsites soil and leachate range from 0.79 - 2.57mg/l and 0.44 1.99mg/l respectively. _ These concentrations were lower than those reported by Agyarko et al (2010) as 5.70 - 27.00mg/l and David et al (2009) as 16.41 - 76.18mg/l.

CONCLUSION

The results of heavy metals analysis displayed in table 1 and 2 indicate that the dumpsite soils and leachates have elevated concentration of chromium and lead. Cadmium and Copper were within the normal range in soils and leachates as reported by several authors even though the concentrations of these metals in the 12 dumpsites were significantly higher than their corresponding control sites. The study was able to show the impact of heavy metals in some dumpsites within Bauchi metropolis and strong linear relationship that exist between some physicochemical parameter and heavy metals.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following recommendations were made in the study;

- The dumpsite which was observed to have elevated level of chromium and lead should be evacuated or moved to a safe location.
- 2. Farmers who patronize these dumpsites for cheap manure should be advised on the inherent dangers associated with the waste.
- 3. The municipal council should create more awareness and sensitization campaign about the danger of indiscriminate solid waste disposal.



Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the Tertiary Education Trust fund (tetfund) and Management of Adamu Tafawa Balewa College of Education, Kangere, Bauchi State for financially supporting the research.

REFERENCES

- Agyarko, K. Darte, .E. & Berlinger B. (2010). Metal levels in some refuse dumpsites soils and plants in Ghana. *Journal of Plant Soil Environment, 56 2010* (5):244-251
- Amusan, K. Charles, & Ojo, S.J. (2005). Determination of heavy metals in soil in the vicinity of a dumpsite in Ketaren Gwari Minna. International Journal of Science And Nature @ 20004-2011 Society For Science And Nature (SFSN)
- Ande, O.T. & Onajobi. J. (2009). Assessment of effects of controlled land use types on soil quality using inferential method. *African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 8* (22), 6267-6271.
- Araujo, R.P.A., Botta, P.L. C.M.R, Silverio, P.F. And Almelda, F.A., (2006). Environmental toxicology and chemistry Brussel, V. 25, (2), 581-588.
- America National Research Council (ANRC), (1991). Environmental Epidemiology. Public Health And Hazardous Waste, Vol. 1, Washington. DC; National Academic Press 1-21.
- Bertazzoli, R. & Pelegrini, R. (2002). Photo electrochemical discoloration and degradation of organic pollutants in acqueous solutions.Quimica Nova.
- Borgmann, U., Couillard, Y., & Doyle, P., Dixon D.G., (2005). Toxicity of sixty-three metals and metalloids to hyalellaazteca at two levels of water hardness. Environmental toxicology and chemistry BrussesIs, V. 24, N.(3),, 641-652.
- Baselt, R.C., (2008). Disposition of toxic drugs and chemical in man (8th Ed). Foster City; Biomedical Publications. ISBN 9780962652370.

- Dave, G., and Nilsson, E., (2005). Increased reproductive toxicity of landfill leachate after degradation was caused by nitrite. Aquatic Toxicology. 73, (1). 11-30
- David, N. O., Benjamin, L.K. & Patrick, O.Y. (2009). Some physicochemical and heavy metal levels in soils of waste dumpsites in Port Harcourt Municipality and Environs. *Journal of Apple Science Environs Management vol.* 13(4) 65 -70

Swaran, J. S.F., (2008). Defense research and development establishment.

- Emsley, J., (2001). Nature's Building Blocks: An A-Z Guide to the elements. Oxford. University Press. 43,513, 529 ISBN 0-19-850341-5.
- Ebong G. A., Akpan, M.M. & Mkpenie, V.N. (20008). Heavy metal of contents municipal and rural dumpsite soil and rate accumulation by (Cariaca Papaya and Talinium Trinagulare In Uyo, Nigeria Coden Ecjhao. *Journal of Chemistry, Vol.5., No. 2, 281-290.*
- Eddy, N., Odeomlem, S.A. & Mbaba, A. (2006). Elemental composition of soil in some dumpsites electron. J. Environ. Agric Food Vol. 5 (3) 2006. ISSN: 1579-4377.
- Fawcett, E., (1988). Spin density-wave anti ferronmagnetism in chromium. Reveivew of Modern Physics 60:209 Do I 10:1103) Rev Mod Phys 60209.
- Gonzalez A. Ndungu, K. & Flegal, A.R. 2005) Natural Occurrence of Haxavalent Chromium in the Aromas Red Asand Aquifer, California
- Germolec, D.R., (2004). Sensitivity and Predcitivity in Immunotoxcity Testing Immune Endpoints and Disease Resistace Toxicology Letter 149:109-114
- Isidori, M.M., Lavonga, A., Nardelli R., and Parella, A. (2003). Toxicity Identification Evaluation of Leachates From Municipal Solid Waste Landfislls, And Approach To Chemosphere 85-94.
- Kotas, J., Stasicka, Z. (2000). Chromium Occurrence in the Environment and



Methods of Its Speciation Environmental Pollution 107 (3): 263-288. Do 1:10.1016/50269-7491 (99)0168-2.

- Krzyslyniak, K.H. Tryphonas, A. And Fournier, Y. (1995). Approaches to the Evaluation of Chemical Induced Immunotoxicity. Environment Health Perspective 103:17-22.
- Soshy, L.E., Parts, S., Ling, T., & Berub, K. (2007). Bioreactivity of Leachate from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills; Assessment of Toxicity. Science of The Total Environment. 284; 171-181
- Lamm, S.H. Engel, A., Pen C.A. Chen, R., & Feinleib, M., (2006). Arsenic Cancer Risk Confounder In Southwest. Tawan Data Set. Environnmtal Health Perspective. 114 (7): (1077-82, PMID 16835062).
- Mecharg, A. (2005). Venomous Earth-How Arsenic Caused the World's Worst Mass Poisoning. Macmillan Science (ISBN 9781403944993).
- Mandal. B. K., & Suzaki, K.J. (2002). Arsenic Rond The World A Review Talanta 58 (1):201-235, Do 1:10, 1016/50039-914(02).
- Montara, M. & Roy, R.N. (2008), Guide To Laboratory Establishment For Plant Nutrient Analsios. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulleting. Rome, 2008.
- Myung Chae Jung (2008). Heavy Metal Concentrations In Soils And Factors Affecting Metal Uptake By Plants In The Cicinity Of Korean Cu-W Mine. Sensors, Journal Vol.8. 2413-2423 ISSN 1424-8220 C2008.Www.Mdpi.Org/Sensors.
- National Population Commission (NPC), (2007) Breakdown Of The 2006 Census Figures January. Nigerian tribune (www.tribute.com.ng).
- Odukoya., Bambose. T.A., (2009). Content of heavy metals in lumbricus Terrestris and associated soild in dumpsites.

Internal journal of environmental research (3), 3 353-358.

- Ojo, S.J., Bangboye, A.I., Alyedum, P. O., & Ogunyemi, A.P. (2004) "pyrolysis of standard plastic waste". Proceed of the 7th Africa-America internal conference on manufacturing technology Port-Harcourt Nigeria 11-14 July, 412-418.
- Oviasogie, P.O. & Ndiokwere, C. (2008). Fraction of lead and cadmium in refuse dump soil treated with cassava mill effluent. The journal and environment 9, June. 2008 technical paper.
- Sibe, C. (1994). Accumulation and heavy metals on irrigated soil with wastewater in the irrigation districks Tula Hilago, Mexico. Rewint, Vol. 10. 1, 15-21 pp.
- Swampnavahini, K., V. & padmavathi, B. (2009). Geochemistry of soil samples in Yendada area, Visakahapatnam. RASAYAN J. of chem (2), 2, 415-417 ISSN: 0974-1496 CODEN: RJCABP.http://ww.rasayanjournal.com.
- Tack, F. M., Lapau F., & Verloo, M.G. (1997). Determination and fractionation of Sulphur in contaminated Dregdge sediment Talanata 44, 2185-2192.
- Tryphonas, H., (2001). Approaches to detection immunotoxic effects of environmental contamination in human health perspective 109:877-887.
- Uba S., Uzairu, A.; Harrison, G.F.S., Blarebe, M. L. & Okunola, O.J. (2008). Assessment of heavy metals bioavailability in dumpsites of Zaria metropoluis, Nigeria. Africa journal of Biotechnical 7 (2), 122-130
- http://www.academicjournals.org.ajb. Vogal, S., (2006). Textbook of quantitative chemical analysis (6th Ed). The school
 - of Greenwich, London.

WHO (1996). The world health report fighting disease, fastening development.

Zoumist, T., Calmono W., & Forstner, U., (2000). Demobilization of heavy metals from mine waters. vol.28,No. 4, 212-218